

State of Utah

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

MICHAEL R. STYLER
Executive Director

Division of Oil, Gas and Mining

JOHN R. BAZA
Division Director

March 25, 2016

CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT 7014 2870 0001 4231 9647

Gary Burningham
Burningham Enterprises
95 North 200 East
American Fork, Utah 84003

Subject: Proposed Assessment for State Notice of Violation No. NOV/CO-2015-17-11 Mammoth

Ridge #1 Mine, S/017/0048, Garfield County, Utah

Response Due By: 30 Days of Receipt

Dear Mr. Burningham:

The undersigned has been appointed by the Division of Oil, Gas & Mining as the assessment officer for assessing penalties under R647-7.

Enclosed is the proposed civil penalty assessment for the above referenced notice of violation. The NOV was issued by Division inspector, Lynn Kunzler, on December 18, 2015. Rule R647-7-103 et. seq. has been utilized to determine the proposed penalty of \$2,750.00. The enclosed worksheet outlines how the civil penalty was assessed.

By these rules, any written information which was submitted by you or your agent within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this NOV has been considered in determining the facts surrounding the violation and the amount of this penalty.

Under R647-7-106, there are two informal appeal options available to you. You may appeal the 'fact of the violation', the proposed civil penalty, or both. If you wish to informally appeal you should file a written request for an informal conference within thirty 30 days of receipt of this letter.

The informal conference will be conducted by a Division-appointed conference officer. The informal conference for the fact of the violation is distinct from the informal assessment conference regarding the proposed penalty. If you wish to review both the fact of the violation and proposed penalty assessment, you should file a written request for an assessment conference within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter. In this case, the assessment conference will be scheduled immediately following the review of the fact of the violation.



Page 2 of 5 Gary Burningham S/017/0048 March 25, 2016

If a timely request for review is not made, the fact of the violation will stand, the proposed penalty will become final, and will be due and payable within thirty (30) days of the date of this proposed assessment (by April 27, 2016). Please remit payment to the Division, mail c/o Sheri Sasaki.

Sincerely, Lette

Wayne Western Assessment Officer

WHW: eb

Enclosure: Proposed assessment worksheet cc: Sheri Sasaki, Accounting

Vickie Southwick, Exec. Sec.

Page 3 of 5 Gary Burningham S/017/0048 March 25, 2016

WORKSHEET FOR ASSESSMENT OF PENALTIES DIVISION OF OIL, GAS & MINING Minerals Regulatory Program

COMP	ANY SSME	/ MINE ENT DA	ΓΕ March 23, 201	nterprises, Mam 6			
I.	HISTORY (Max. 25 pts.) (R647–7-103.2.11) A. Are there previous violations, which are not pending or vacated, which fall three (3) years of today's date?						
	PRE	VIOUS	VIOLATIONS	EFFECTIVE	DATE	POINTS (1pt for NOV 5pts for CO	
				TOT	AL HISTOR	Y POINTS 0	
		NOTE: 1. 2.	For assignment of points in Parts II and III, the following apply: Based on facts supplied by the inspector, the Assessment Officer will determine within each category where the violation falls. Beginning at the mid-point of the category, the Assessment Officer will adjust the points up or down, utilizing the inspector's and operator's statements as guiding documents. s an EVENT (A) or Administrative (B) violation? Event (assign points according to A or B)				
	A.	EVEN	T VIOLATIONS (M	ax 45 pts.)			
		1.	What is the event wl	nich the violated	l standard was	s designed to prevent?	
desirab	le veg	getation.					
		2.	What is the probabil standard was designed PROBABILI None Unlikely Likely Occurred	ed to prevent?	POINT RA 0 1-9 10-19 20	vent which a violated NGE	
			ASSIGN PROBAB	ILITY OF OC	CURRENCE	POINTS 15	

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

Without the area being properly seeded which included seedbed preparations weeds would likely be expected to take root during the first growing season.

Page 4 of 5 Gary Burningham S/017/0048 March 25, 2016 3. What is the extent of actual or potential damage: ASSIGN DAMAGE POINTS (RANGE 0-25) 10 In assigning points, consider the duration and extent of said damage or impact, in terms of area and impact on the public or environment. PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS: In the event of a bond forfeiture, the Division would have the added financial burden of controlling the weeds before planting desirable species. ADMINISTRATIVE VIOLATIONS (Max 25pts) B. Is this a POTENTIAL or ACTUAL hindrance to enforcement? Assign points based on the extent to which enforcement is actually or potentially hindered by the violation. ASSIGN HINDRANCE POINTS _ PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS: TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS (A or B) III. **DEGREE OF FAULT** (Max 30 pts.) (R647-7-103.2.13)

NEGLIGENCE.	Point Range
No Negligence (Was this an inadvertent violation which was unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable care?)	0
Negligent (was this a failure of a permittee to prevent the occurrence of a violation due to indifference lack of diligence, or lack of reasonable care?)	1-15
Greater Degree of Fault (was this a failure to abate any violation or was economic gain realized by the permittee?	16-30

STATE DEGREE OF NEGLIGENCE

ASSIGN NEGLIGENCE POINTS 20

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS: <u>The Operator was given a Division directive to reseed the site and failed to do so. The Operator also gave misleading information to the Division indicating that the work had been done.</u>

Page 5 of 5 Gary Burningham S/017/0048 March 25, 2016 **GOOD FAITH** (Max 20 pts.) (R467-7-103.2.14) (Either A or B) (Does not apply to violations requiring no abatement measures, or violations not abated at the time of assessment) Has Violation Been Abated? No A. EASY ABATEMENT (The operator had onsite, the resources necessary to achieve compliance of the violated standard within the permit area.) Point Range Immediate Compliance -11 to -20 (Immediately following the issuance of the NOV) Rapid Compliance -1 to -10 (Permittee used diligence to abate the violation. Violation abated in less time than allotted.) Normal Compliance 0 (Operator complied within the abatement period required, or, Operator requested an extension to abatement time) B. DIFFICULT ABATEMENT (The operator did not have the resources at hand to achieve compliance, or the submission of plans was required prior to physical activity to achieve compliance.) Point Range Rapid Compliance -11 to -20 (Permittee used diligence to abate the violation. Violation abated in less time than allotted.) Normal Compliance -1 to -10 (Operator complied within the abatement period) **Extended Compliance** 0 (Operator complied within the abatement period required, or, Operator requested an extension to abatement time) (Permittee took minimal actions for abatement to stay within the limits of the violation, or the plan submitted for abatement was incomplete.) EASY OR DIFFICULT ABATEMENT? ASSIGN GOOD FAITH POINTS N/A PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS: V. ASSESSMENT SUMMARY (R647-7-103.3) I. TOTAL HISTORY POINTS

TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS

TOTAL NEGLIGENCE POINTS

TOTAL GOOD FAITH POINTS

TOTAL ASSESSED POINTS

II.

III.

IV.

25

20

0

45

\$2,750.00